

### REMARKS

The courtesy extended by the Examiner in a telephone interview on March 11<sup>th</sup> is acknowledged and appreciated. During the interview, the claims and previous response were discussed. The concept of quality as being different from topic labels was also discussed. The examiner identified some awkward language in claim 1.

Consistent with the interview, Applicant has incorporated the quality requirement of dependent claims such as claim 9 into each of the independent claims. Agrawal, as discussed in the interview and in the previous response, is limited to subject matter topical arrangements. The quality in the present application, as explained in the previous response, is not a topic label. Entry of the amendments is appropriate because no new issues are raised by the incorporation of features into independent claims from dependent claims. Other amendments were made only to correct some awkward language noted by the Examiner. The previous arguments are incorporated herein, as they have a detailed explanation of the quality concept now explicitly in each of the independent claims. Allowance of the application is appropriate, as Agrawal only discloses a document organization by topic.


For all of the above reasons, reconsideration and allowance is requested. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney.

April 4, 2005  
300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 360-0080  
Customer No. 24978

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By:



Steven P. Fallon

Registration No. 35,132